

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF GEORGIA  
SAVANNAH DIVISION**

**GEORGIA RIVER NETWORK and  
AMERICAN RIVERS,**

**Plaintiffs,**

**v. 4:10-cv-267**

**U.S. ARMY CORPS OF ENGINEERS, et  
al.,**

**Defendants,**

**and**

**GRADY COUNTY BOARD OF  
COMMISSIONERS,**

**Intervenor.**

Plaintiff's position, the Court will retain the case in Savannah.

This 26th day of May 2011.



**B. AVANT EDENFIELD, JUDGE  
UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF GEORGIA**

**ORDER**

On April 25, 2011, the Court ordered Plaintiffs to file an explanation of proper venue for this action. *See* Doc. 36. In their brief, Plaintiffs candidly admit that venue is proper in both the Southern and Middle Districts of Georgia, *see* Doc. 41 at 3-4, but urge the Court to retain the case in Savannah, based primarily on the fact that the decision-making process from which they appeal took place here, *see id.* at 2.

None of the Defendants have objected to venue in the Southern District. *See* Docs. 44, 45 (Defendants' statements submitting the venue decision to the discretion of the Court).

Given the lack of objections from Defendants, and the thorough reasoning of